

Nicole Gaudette

From: Evan Maxim
Sent: Tuesday, June 5, 2018 5:13 PM
Cc: Andrea Larson; pnrmerc@comcast.net
Subject: FW: Comments on SJCC Expansion Proposal

Importance: High

Dear Planning Commissioners,

Please see the comment, below.

Regards,

Evan Maxim

Interim Director of Development Services
City of Mercer Island Development Services
9611 SE 36th Street, Mercer Island, WA 98040
p: 206.275.7732
f: 206.275.7726

From: pnrmerc@comcast.net <pnrmerc@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 5, 2018 1:35 PM
To: Evan Maxim <evan.maxim@mercergov.org>
Cc: sabrinachang@gmail.com
Subject: Comments on SJCC Expansion Proposal
Importance: High

I have two comments:

O The proposed layout of the referenced project shows that under the plan the house at 9975 99th Ave SE is to be purchased. What about the dwelling at 9985th 99th Ave SE? Already purchased? Given the city's current financial situation, does it make sense to lose two more taxable properties? Also, what would be the status of 99th Ave SE itself. That private road services homes at 9900, 9910, 9920, and 9930 99th Ave SE.

O As a retired Army officer, the heavy reliance on a forested "high" fence to provide protection for persons using and in the underground parking facilities seems overly optimistic. Obstacles are in general susceptible to being penetrated. Thus, an effective obstacle requires careful monitoring and defending by a sufficient and well-trained force of security personnel. Think of films like "Mission Impossible".

Peter N. Robertson
MAJ, AUS (Ret)
9910 SE 40th St., M.I.